



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE                             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/656,080                               | 09/05/2003                              | Heribert Vogel       | Hss-30                  | 1855             |
| 25784                                    | 7590 01/11/2005                         |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| MICHAEL O. SCHEINBERG                    |   |                      | VERDIER, CHRISTOPHER M  |                  |
| P.O. BOX 164140<br>AUSTIN, TX 78716-4140 |   |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,  | . , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |                      | 3745                    |                  |
|  |   |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Occurrence  | 10/656,080  | VOGEL, HERIBERT  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Christopher Verdier   | 3745   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the co   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | _•  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  | This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 3 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.</li> </ul>  | vn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner   | epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  | ,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Cin  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | о. —  | <u></u>  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   | 4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dai  5) Notice of Informal Pa   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/656,080

Art Unit: 3745

on Control Ivallicer: 10,000,

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

I. Figures 1a and 1e.

II. Figures 1c, 1d, and 1f.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally

held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-5, 22, and 24 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that

all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §

809.02(a).

Page 2

Art Unit: 3745

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Michael Scheinberg on January 10, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Verdier whose telephone number is (571) 272-4824. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward K. Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C.V. January 10, 2005

Christopher Verdier Primary Examiner Art Unit 3745